



BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

28 STATE STREET - 28TH FLOOR
BOSTON, MA 02109

TEL: 617.227.7111
FAX: 617.227.4399
www.bannerwitcoff.com

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Examiner Marc E. Norman, Group 3744	FROM: Peter D. McDermott
COMPANY: United States Patent and Trademark Office	DATE: September 17, 2002
FAX NUMBER: 703-872-9309	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER SHEET): 11
YOUR REFERENCE NO.: US Serial No. 09/921,334 Filed August 3, 2001	OUR REFERENCE (C/M) NO.: 011670.00006
Title: PULSED FLOW FOR CAPACITY CONTROL Inventor: Lifson A.	

If you do not receive all page(s) or have any problems receiving this transmission, please call:

NAME: Peter McDermott/
Rachelle Chery

PHONE: 617-227-7111

FAX RECEIVED


Dear Examiner Norman,

SEP 17 2002

GROUP 3700


Applicant wish to submit the enclosed Substitute Supplemental Response to Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,


Peter McDermott
Reg. No. 29,411
Customer No. 22910

Certificate of Facsimile

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being faxed to Attn: Examiner Marc E. Norman, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box REISSUE, Washington, D.C. 20231, fax # 703-872-9302 on September 17, 2002


Rachelle Chery
Date 9/17/02

Attorney Docket No. 011670.00006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Lifson, A. **Group Art Unit:** 3744
Serial No.: 09/921,334 **Examiner:** Norman, Marc E.
Filed: August 03, 2001
For: PULSED FLOW FOR CAPACITY CONTROL
Original Filing Date: December 8, 1997
Original Patent No: 6,047,556
Granted: April 11, 2000

Assistant Commissioner for Patents,
Box REISSUE
Washington, D.C. 20231

SUBSTITUTE SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This paper is filed at the request of Examiner Norman and is intended to be a substitute for the Supplemental Response to Office Action faxed on September 11, 2002 for the above-referenced reissue application.

Each of the reissue claims listed below has been amended to comply with the rules for claim amendments in reissue applications. More particularly, each of the amended claims below has been underlined in full. No amendments to the claims have been added that were not present in the Supplemental Response to Office Action faxed on September 11, 2002.

Applicant thanks Examiner Norman for his courtesy and creative assistance during the Office interview on 5 September 2002 and for his help regarding this Supplemental Response to Office Action. The amendments and comments presented here are believed to be consistent with the points developed during the interview and are believed to put the application into condition for allowance.

*Substitute Supplemental Response to Office Action for US 09/921,334
Reissue of U.S. 6,047,556
Page 1 of 10*